"All Shadows Have Been Fulfilled"

A Sermon on Article Twenty-Five of the Belgic Confession.

Texts: Hebrews 10:1-10; Exodus 24:1-18

Article 25 - Christ, the Fulfillment of the Law

We believe that the ceremonies and symbols of the law have ceased with the coming of Christ, and that all shadows have been fulfilled, so that the use of them ought to be abolished among Christians. Yet their truth and substance remain for us in Jesus Christ, in whom they have been fulfilled.

In the meantime we still use the testimonies taken from the law and the prophets, both to confirm us in the doctrine of the gospel and to order our life in all honour, according to God's will and to His glory.³

¹ Mt 27:51; Rom 10:4; Heb 9:9-10 ² Mt 5:17; Gal 3:24; Col 2:17 ³ Rom 13:8-10, 15:4; 2 Pt 1:19, 3:2

A "what do we do with Moses and the Ten Commandments?" Indeed, one of the major themes running throughout the New Testament is the thorny relationship between a Christian under the New Covenant and the law of Moses which lies at the heart of the Old Covenant. Not only is this a prominent theme in the ministry of Jesus—take, for example, Luke 24:44, where Jesus states that "everything must be fulfilled that is written about me in the Law of Moses, the Prophets and the Psalms"—but this is a major theme in the letters of Paul. In Romans 10:4, Paul writes that "Christ is the end of the law so that there may be righteousness for everyone who believes." What do we as Christians do with the Law and the ceremonies of the covenant God made with Moses at Mount Sinai, now that Christ has come and has declared all of these things are fulfilled in him?

We now treat two articles which deal with themes related to the work of Christ as our high priest and the sole mediator of the covenant of grace. These two articles (twenty-five and twenty-six) follow the discussion of faith, justification and sanctification (articles twenty-two through twenty-four) because how we understand the covenant God made with Moses and Christ's present mediation on our behalf (the subject of article twenty-six) will impact considerably our conception of the Christian life and the nature of those good works which we now do because we have already been justified on the basis of the merits of Jesus Christ which we have received through the means of faith.

Why, for example, do we eat pork and worship on Sunday (not Saturday)? Why do we not sacrifice animals, celebrate the Passover and other Jewish feasts, or insist that our ministers are priests who are descendants of Levi? And yet, why do we still insist that Christians must obey the Ten Commandments out of a sense of gratitude for all that God has done for us in Jesus Christ? Why obey the commandments and not observe the ceremonies? Obviously, these are very important and rather practical questions. And answering them correctly requires a proper understanding of the relationship between Jesus and

Moses. The coming of Jesus marks the decisive mid-point of redemptive history and drastically changes how we as God's people relate to everything which came before.

While controversies over the Christian's relationship to Moses and the law date from the New Testament era—the Book of Galatians being a prime example—as we cover our confession's summation of the biblical teaching on this topic, we must keep in mind the fact that our confession was written against the backdrop of Roman Catholicism during the time that the Council of Trent was meeting (1541 until 1563) to respond to the emerging Protestant movement. The fact that Rome defended a number of its distinctive doctrines, especially the idea of a sacrificing priesthood, by appealing to the types and shadows of the Old Testament sacrificial system which had been instituted under the Mosaic covenant, explains why the ceremonial aspects of that covenant are specifically dealt with here in the manner in which they are, and why our confession is concerned about types and shadows, and substance, in particular, and not with a discussion of law, gospel and covenant in general.

If it can be shown that Christ fulfills the ceremonial aspects of the Mosaic covenant (in addition to fulfilling the demand for perfect obedience to the covenant of works and the Ten Commandments), it becomes clear that a sacrificing priesthood is no longer a necessity under the New Covenant. Christ is our high priest and all believers are now priests because of their union with Christ. All of us have equal access to God and there is no special class of "go-betweens" (priests) who stand between the sinner and Jesus Christ. This means that God hears the prayers of all of his people, not just the prayers of the "holiest" among us. But this also means that a sacrificing priesthood, such as that practiced by Rome, becomes a direct affront to the gospel, since the gospel is based upon Christ's once for all sacrifice for sin, offered by Jesus Christ, the great high priest and that one to whom the Old Testament priesthood had pointed. Therefore, this topic was a major point of contention at the time our confession was written, and it remains a controversial topic in our own age, given all of the recent controversy over Paul and the law.¹

The specific controversies within the Reformed tradition regarding the law of Moses have usually centered upon two different issues. The first is the so-called "antinomian" question, while the second has to do with the relationship between the law of Moses and those two covenants which predate the giving of the law, the covenant of works and the covenant of grace.

As far as the antinomian controversy goes (which has surfaced in a number of forms and circumstances over the last 450 years), the issue is simply this: "if Jesus fulfilled the requirements of the Law through his own perfect obedience, and if we are justified by the merits of Christ, imputed to us through faith alone, why are we still bound to obey the Law of God?" The antinomian (anti-"against", nomos-"law") will answer, "no, we are not obligated to obey the Ten Commandments," precisely because Jesus obeyed them for us and in our place. Some antinomians are so brazen as to argue that they can even continue to sin, just so that God's grace may be given a chance to abound. According to Romans 6, Paul already knew of such people-"shall we sin that grace may abound?"—and the apostle condemned them roundly-"By no means, we died to sin!"

Obviously, antinomianism is not taught in Scripture and is in direct conflict with our confession of faith, yet we do need to be clear that what we are speaking of here is obedience to the commandments of God, not to the rules of men. While we must always be willing to give up our Christian liberty in the presence

¹ See my essay on the New Perspective and excellent essay by Ligon Duncan, "The Attraction of the New Perspective." Both can be found on the web-page of the Alliance of Confessing Evangelicals.

of those who may have scruples about something which may not be forbidden in Scripture but which nevertheless binds their own conscience (the so-called "weaker brother"), we need never surrender our liberty to the self-righteous or Pharisees. As Paul says in Galatians 5:1, "It is for freedom that Christ has set us free. Stand firm, then, and do not let yourselves be burdened again by a yoke of slavery." This is why we as Reformed Christians are so concerned about obeying the Ten Commandments as a fruit of justifying faith and why we so militantly oppose the imposition of so-called "blue laws" or "house rules" typical of American fundamentalism—"don't drink," "don't smoke," "don't dance." While Christian liberty is not something to be flaunted—"look what I can do now"—it is to be exercised out of a sense of gratitude for all that God has done for us in Christ. Having been justified, the Law of God still serves as both the teacher of sin and the rule of a life of gratitude.

The other controversial matter within our tradition has to do with how the covenant God makes with Moses relates to the covenants of works and the covenant of grace, both of which predate the giving of the Law at Mount Sinai. Here the question is whether or not the law is essentially gracious, or, on the other hand, is the law related to the covenant of works, which God established with Adam on the grounds of his own perfect and personal obedience? Those who see the law as essentially gracious, also tend to argue that the law-gospel distinction is a Lutheran doctrine which is not in any sense compatible with the Reformed conception of the covenant, and therefore ought to be rejected.²

But it is clear from the history of our own tradition that Ursinus, Calvin, and even Calvin's successor in Geneva, Theodore Beza, (hardly Lutherans by the way), whole-heartedly embraced the law-gospel distinction.³ In fact, Theodore Beza wrote in his own catechism—which was completed three years before our own confession (*The Christian Faith*, 1558)—"We divide this Word into two principal parts or kinds: the one is called the `Law,' the other the `Gospel.' For all the rest can be gathered under the one or other of these two headings . . . Ignorance of this distinction between Law and Gospel is one of the principal

² See, for example, Norman Shepherd, <u>The Call of Grace</u> (Presbyterian and Reformed, 2000), p. 5. Shepherd goes on to state, "Scripture shows that the Mosaic covenant is not a covenant of works embodying a works/merit principle at its core. It is not a republication of an original covenant of works" (pp. 26-27).

³ Historically, this is not a difficult point to argue, given the statements by Zacharias Ursinus (1534-83) in his *Larger* Catechism. Q.36 What distinguishes law and gospel? A: The law contains a covenant of nature begun by God with men in creation, that is, it is a natural sign to men, and it requires of us perfect obedience toward God. It promises eternal life to those keeping it, and threatens eternal punishment to those not keeping it. In fact, the gospel contains a covenant of grace, that is, one known not at all under nature. This covenant declares to us fulfillment of its righteousness in Christ, which the law requires, and our restoration through Christ's Spirit. To those who believe in him, it freely promises eternal life for Christ's sake." In his Commentary on the Heidelberg Catechism, Q. 92., Ursinus writes, "Zacharias Ursinus. In What Does The Law Differ From The Gospel? The exposition of this question is necessary for a variety of considerations, and especially that we may have a proper understanding of the law and the gospel, to which a knowledge of that in which they differ greatly contributes. According to the definition of the law, which says, that it promises rewards to those who render perfect obedience; and that it promises them freely, inasmuch as no obedience can be meritorious in the sight of God, it would seem that it does not differ from the gospel, which also promises eternal life freely. Yet notwithstanding this seeming agreement, there is a great difference between the law and the gospel. They differ, 1. As to the mode of revelation peculiar to each. The law is known naturally: the gospel was divinely revealed after the fall of man. 2. In matter or doctrine. The law declares the justice of God separately considered: the gospel declares it in connection with his mercy. The law teaches what we ought to be in order that we may be saved: the gospel teaches in addition to this, how we may become such as this law requires, viz: by faith in Christ. 3. In their conditions or promises. The law promises eternal life and all good things upon the condition of our own and perfect righteousness, and of obedience in us; the gospel promises the same blessings upon the condition that we exercise faith in Christ, by which we embrace the obedience which another, even Christ, has performed in our behalf; or the gospel teaches that we are justified freely by faith in Christ, With this faith is also connected, as by an indissoluble bond, the condition of new obedience. 4. In their effects. The law works wrath, and is the ministration of death: the gospel is the ministration of life and of the Spirit (Rom. 4:15, 2 Cor. 3:7) Commentary on the Heidelberg Catechism, Q. 92.

sources of the abuses which corrupted and still corrupt Christianity." Nothing has changed and the question as to what we as Reformed Christians do with Moses and the law is still an issue.

One key to understanding whether the law is gracious or works-based is to make a distinction between the law in the broad sense—i.e., the entire Mosaic economy instituted by God and based upon the covenant God made with Israel at Mount Sinai—and the Law in the narrow sense—i.e., the Ten Commandments. Most Reformed theologians have seen the law in the broad sense (including the types and shadows of the priesthood, animal sacrifice, the feast days, the temple, and so on) as a particular administration of the covenant of grace, while at the same time seeing the Ten Commandments (the Law in the narrow sense) to be completely works-based, and in some sense a republication of the obligations of the original covenant of works, now made explicit on the two tables of stone, as recounted in Exodus 24.4

Thus the Mosaic economy as a whole is gracious as to its administration (since all external elements of this covenant point to Jesus Christ), while the Ten Commandments, on the other hand, are works-based, and a republication of the terms of the original covenant of works God made with Adam. While there is forgiveness of sin in the administration of the Mosaic covenant—i.e., the animal sacrifices, the priesthood, the temple, etc.,—there is no provision for forgiveness of sin in the Ten Commandments which promise blessing for obedience and threaten curse for disobedience. Therefore, properly understanding the types and shadows of the Covenant God made with Moses, and how these have been fulfilled in Jesus Christ while still impacting those who live after the coming of Jesus Christ, is very important—especially in light of the controversy with the Roman Catholic church at the time our confession was written and in light of the new confusion we find over this matter in our own tradition.

The first thing the author of our confession does is to summarize the clear teaching of the New Testament to the effect that Christ has fulfilled all the types and shadows of the Mosaic covenant.

Our confession puts it this way. "We believe that the ceremonies and symbols of the law have ceased with the coming of Christ, and that all shadows have been fulfilled, so that the use of them ought to be abolished among Christians." The first matter we must address is the question of what, exactly, is meant by the phrase "ceremonies and symbols of the law?" The ceremonial law can be divided into four categories: (1) holy persons, (the high priest, ordinary priests, levities and so on); 2) holy places (the tabernacle and the temple), (3) holy things (vows, tithes, sacrifices, altars, candlesticks, table of showbread, the ark, etc.) and (4) holy seasons (Passover, the Old Testament Pentecost [the feast of weeks], the feast of tabernacles, trumpets, day of atonement, the jubilee, etc.). With the coming of Jesus Christ (to whom these things pointed) all these things have been fulfilled, and therefore, are not to be observed by Christians.⁵ They belong to the old order of things that passed away with the coming of Jesus Christ.

That this is the case is clear from a number of passages in the New Testament, and we have time to survey just a few of them. At the exact moment our Lord died on the cross, we read in Matthew 27:51, that "the curtain of the temple was torn in two from top to bottom. The earth shook and the rocks split." With the tearing of the temple veil, God's blessing upon the sacrificial system was removed, since the

⁴ See, for example, the essay by Mark Karlberg, "Reformed Interpretation of the Mosaic Covenant," in <u>Covenant Theology in Reformed Perspective</u> (Wipf and Stock, 2000), pp. 17-57.

⁵ Beets, <u>The Reformed Confession Explained</u>, pp. 194-195.

once for all sacrifice for sin had now been made by his sinless Son–that event to which the perpetual shedding of animal blood by a levitical priest in the temple had actually pointed.

The fact that the Mosaic covenant has come to an end is clearly taught by the author to the Hebrews, who states in the first five verses of Hebrews 10:

The law is only a shadow of the good things that are coming—not the realities themselves. For this reason it can never, by the same sacrifices repeated endlessly year after year, make perfect those who draw near to worship. If it could, would they not have stopped being offered? For the worshipers would have been cleansed once for all, and would no longer have felt guilty for their sins. But those sacrifices are an annual reminder of sins, because it is impossible for the blood of bulls and goats to take away sins.

When Christ died upon the cross, the priesthood, the sacrifice and the temple had fulfilled their purpose—they prepared the way for the cross. Once Jesus Christ has offered that sacrifice which can take away sin, the ceremonies are to be no more.

In the previous chapter (Hebrews 9) we read of the turning point in redemptive history in these terms:

this is an illustration for the present time, indicating that the gifts and sacrifices being offered were not able to clear the conscience of the worshiper. They are only a matter of food and drink and various ceremonial washings—external regulations applying until the time of the new order.

In Christ, the new order has come. In Colossians 2:17, Paul writes that "these are a shadow of the things that were to come; the reality, however, is found in Christ." Thus the ceremonies and symbols of the law (the broad sense of the Mosaic economy) have served their purpose. They prepared the people of God for the coming of the Messiah. But once the Messiah has come, the ceremonies and symbols of the law are no longer to be used by God's people—and to require as much is to say that Christ's death is not sufficient to remove the guilt of our sins.

Given the fact that the Roman church looked precisely to the these Old Testament ceremonies and symbols to justify a sacrificing priesthood, the mass, the use of an altar, priestly vestments and church architecture based upon Old Testament temple worship, a church calendar filled with feast and saint's days, it was vital for the author of our confession to clarify that the coming of Jesus Christ as our high priest, to offer a once-and-for-all, all-sufficient sacrifice for sin, put an end to the use of all such ceremonies. It may also be of interest to note that this was the same premise upon which the Reformed tradition developed the so-called "regulative principle" of worship—only that which God commands in his word can be used in worship, and this explains why the liturgical forms of the Reformed churches are all based upon the text of Scripture—either directly or as a legitimate inference. In fact, in article thirty-two of our confession we read the following: "Therefore we reject all human inventions and laws introduced into the worship of God which bind and compel the consciences in any way."

Having stated that the ceremonies and symbols of the law have passed away with the coming of Christ, the following question must be answered. "If Jesus Christ fulfilled the shadows of the ceremonies and symbols of the law, what remains of the substance of the law?"

⁶ Beets, <u>The Reformed Confession Explained</u>, p. 195.

Our confession simply states, "yet their truth and substance remain for us in Jesus Christ, in whom they have been fulfilled. In the meantime we still use the testimonies taken from the law and the prophets, both to confirm us in the doctrine of the gospel and to order our life in all honour, according to God's will and to His glory." This brings us to the problem of continuity and discontinuity between the two testaments. What has passed away and what remains? In order to answer this question, we need to consider the purposes of the shadows and ceremonies found in the Mosaic covenant. Why did God institute these particular things and ceremonies?

The stipulations of the Mosaic covenant (including the Ten Commandments) not only make clear that YHWH is Lord over his people, and that the righteous requirements of the law not only reveal how God wants to be worshiped, but they distinguished Israel from all other peoples and religions. Not only was the Mosaic covenant intended to prevent idolatry, the Law was meant to show Israel their sin, while at the same time promising redemption from that sin, as well as pointing the way to sin's ultimate solution—the righteousness of someone who kept the Law, as well as a sacrifice which could do what the blood of bulls and goats could not, take the guilt and break the power of sin.⁷

Thus the ceremonies and symbols of the Mosaic covenant have served an essential purpose—to provide the context in which God could send his Son as the mediator of the covenant of grace, so that we might be saved from the guilt and power of sin. What passes away, then, are those things which have served their purpose, now that Christ has come. This would be the particular administration of the Mosaic covenant given at Mount Sinai. This is why Romans 10:4 Paul states that, "Christ is the end of the law." When Jesus fulfills both the law and the demands of the covenant of works through his own obedience, he ends the old redemptive economy and commences a new one. Since the Jews thought the law was an end in itself, their allegiance remained to Moses, rather than to that one to whom Moses should have pointed them, namely Jesus.⁸ This is important because while the Mosaic economy passes away and we are no longer bound to ceremonies and symbols, as Christians we are still bound to obey the Ten Commandments (the so-called Moral Law) because these commandments are written upon our hearts (Romans 2:13-14) and all ten are reaffirmed as part of the ethical teaching of the New Testament. This can be easily demonstrated from the teaching of Jesus in the gospels.

- The first table of the law declares, "You shall have no other gods before me," while in Luke 4:8, Jesus declares, "Jesus answered, 'It is written: 'Worship the Lord your God and serve him only."
- According to the second commandment, "You shall not make for yourself an idol in the form of

⁷ Beets, <u>The Reformed Confession Explained</u>, p. 196.

According to Moo, "as Christ consummates one era of salvation history, so he inaugurates a new one. In this new era, God's eschatological righteousness is available to those who believe; and it is available to everyone who believes. Both emphases are important and reflect one of the most basic themes of the letter (1:16; 3:22; 28-30; 4:16-17). Because the Jews have not understood that Christ has brought the law to its culmination, they have not responded in faith to Christ; and have therefore missed the righteousness of God, available only in Christ on the basis of faith. At the same time, Christ, by ending the era of the law, during which God was dealing mainly with Israel, has made righteousness more readily available to the Gentiles. Verse 4 is, then, the hinge on which the entire section 9:30-10:13 turns. It justifies Paul's claim that the Jews, by their preoccupation with the law, have missed God's righteousness (9:30-10:3); for righteousness is now found only in Christ and only through faith in Christ, the one who has brought the law to its climax and thereby ended its reign. It also announces the theme that Paul will expound in 10:5-13: righteousness by faith in Christ for all who believe." Cf. Moo, The Epistle to the Romans, pp. 641-642.

anything in heaven above or on the earth beneath or in the waters below. You shall not bow down to them or worship them; for I, the LORD your God, am a jealous God." In John 4:24, we read, "God is spirit, and his worshipers must worship in spirit and in truth."

- According to the third commandment, "you shall not misuse the name of the LORD your God," while in the Lord's Prayer our Lord prays, "hallowed be your name."
- According to the fourth commandment, we are to "Remember the Sabbath day by keeping it holy." while Jesus reminds us in Mark 2:27-28: "The Sabbath was made for man, not man for the Sabbath. So the Son of Man is Lord even of the Sabbath."
- According to the second table of the law, and the fifth commandment, we are to "Honor your father and your mother." In Matthew 15:4, Jesus states, "for God said, 'Honor your father and mother' and 'Anyone who curses his father or mother must be put to death."
- The sixth commandment tell us, "you shall not murder," while Jesus states in Matthew 5:21-22, "You have heard that it was said to the people long ago, 'Do not murder, and anyone who murders will be subject to judgment.' But I tell you that anyone who is angry with his brother will be subject to judgment."
- The seventh commandment tells us, "you shall not commit adultery." In Matthew 5:27-28, "You have heard that it was said, 'Do not commit adultery.' But I tell you that anyone who looks at a woman lustfully has already committed adultery with her in his heart."
- According to the eighth commandment, "you shall not steal," and the ninth commandment, "you shall not give false testimony against your neighbor," while in Matthew 15:19-20, Jesus states, "for out of the heart come evil thoughts, murder, adultery, sexual immorality, theft, false testimony, slander. These are what make a man 'unclean.'
- Finally, we are commanded not to covet, while Jesus affirms, in Luke 12:15: "Be on your guard against all kinds of greed; a man's life does not consist in the abundance of his possessions."

From the list of evidence taken from the gospels, (and we can do the same with the Epistles as well) it is clear that while on the one hand the Mosaic covenant has fulfilled its purpose and therefore passes away (the law in the broad sense), on the other hand all ten of the commandments are reaffirmed as binding upon the Christian (the law in the narrow sense).

If this is the case, what application can we make?

We need to be clear about the doctrine of justification before going any further. Jesus obeyed all of God's commandments perfectly in thought word and in deed, and his obedience to them is reckoned to us through faith. Thus we obey these same commandments, not to become righteous, but because we are already reckoned as righteous and our struggle to obey the commandments is a fruit of justifying faith. Having clearly understood this, we are now in a position to talk about how the Mosaic economy passes away, while the moral law (the Ten Commandments) remain in force and binding upon a Christian.

Understanding the roles played by Moses and Jesus in redemptive history is one of the most fundamental distinctions a Christian can make. We must see the covenant that God makes with Israel at Mount Sinai

as a covenant which is, in a sense, an overlay, on top of two already existing covenants—the covenant of works and the covenant of grace. As to its administration, the Mosaic covenant is part of the covenant of grace.

It is God who summons Moses and gives to him (as the mediator foreshadowing the coming of Christ) the two-tables of the law, which is a revelation of God's will for his people. While the administration of the Sinaitic covenant is gracious since this includes sacrifices which remove the people's guilt, priests who represent them before God and so on—the content of The Commandments is not gracious. What is written upon the two tables of the Law is pure command, with blessings promised for obedience, and curse threatened for disobedience. In this regard (the Law in its narrow sense) reflects the original covenant of works, which God made with Adam on behalf of the human race. Confusing these two elements of the Mosaic covenant (the form of administration and Law itself), inevitably produces a confusion of law and gospel. The blood of animals offered by the priests (a particular administration of the covenant of grace) points ahead to that sacrifice which forgives sin. And what is sin? Any violation of the law of God—and on that basis the law is clearly works-based.

This is why with the death of Jesus, all of the ceremonies and symbols of the law in the broad sense (the Mosaic covenant) have been fulfilled. There are no longer holy persons, (priests), holy places (such as the temple, or even the land of Palestine), holy things (sacrifices and altars) holy seasons (i.e., the Passover) in the Old Testament sense. All of these things pointed forward to Jesus Christ and are fulfilled in him. This is why we are not bound to the dietary laws, why we do not sacrifice animals, why we do not speak of a Christian nation (either Israel or the United States), and why I am not a priest and why we do not celebrate the mass. This is the point that our confession is making—all of the shadows have been fulfilled in Jesus Christ.

But now that Christ has come and fulfilled everything written about him in the Law, each one of us who have placed our trust in him is perfectly holy. In fact, we are all saints. In Christ, we are all priests, who offer ourselves to God as living sacrifices, holy and acceptable unto him. In Christ, we are reckoned as righteous law-keepers (not law-breakers), who see the forms of the Old Covenant as fulfilled in the doing and dying of Jesus. But we know that the substance remains. And that substance is found in that one who lived for us, and died for us, who forgives our sins and covers us with his perfect righteousness so that we might walk in those good works which he prepared in advance for us to do (cf. Ephesians 2:10). And since the substance of the law remains in the person of Jesus Christ, this is why we strive to live lives of gratitude in obedience to the moral law, while no longer bound to ceremonies or symbols which have long since passed away. For us the types and shadows are gone. In Christ, all shadows have been fulfilled. Amen.